

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/767,723	MUENCHAU ET AL.	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Response to Non-Final Rej.

(1) Thomas R. Artman.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) David Krasnow, Reg. No. 34,203.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 December 2005

**Time:** pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*n/a*

Claims discussed:

*1, 6 and 11*

Prior art documents discussed:

*n/a*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Inadvertent errors in clms 1, 6 and 11 were corrected. Further, Applicants agreed to include a controller in order to concretely establish the signal function.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Chase*

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)